

Ivinghoe Freight Strategy Statutory Consultation Comments

Comments against Weight Restriction

The traffic through Wing is so busy along the A418. The big lorries seem to go very fast through the village and have even gone up on the path, it is dangerous and to add even more traffic would make it worse. I do not walk along that road.

There is far too much heavy traffic coming through a village like Wing. These days you take your life in your hands crossing the road. We need a By-pass.

The proposal feels as though it would divert even more HGVs through Wing which is already severely and detrimentally impacted by the constant flow of heavy vehicles through what is, like Ivinghoe, essentially a small village without the infrastructure to support this.

You are diverting traffic from places that can support a certain amount of traffic and bottle necking it through into a village that does not have capacity to handle the traffic already flowing through it without more traffic. The roads are not suitable or safe for this to happen wing is a village and the roads already dangerous my car was recently written off with myself in it outside my house, we jsbe had a van on its roof, lorry accidents and cars knocking down walls how is this possibly sensible or safe someone will end up being killed. The traffic is already horrendous on a daily basis and it can take myself and my neighbours 15 minutes in peak time to pull off of our parking on to a 60mph road, parking back on top it is even more dangerous. The sensible thing would be to look at a bypass again and not just blindly divert traffic onto a road that just cannot handle it.

While I support the need to reduce the negative impact of heavy freight traffic on local residents, buildings and the environment, this proposal does NONE of that for the village of Wing! Wing already suffers from heavy traffic on the A418 which is destroying the properties, environment and wellbeing of those living there. HGVs and heavy traffic speeds constantly through the village and past Cottesloe School where pupils must 'run the gauntlet' daily to cross the already treacherous A418 without the aid of a controlled crossing point or speed calming measures (despite years of requests). Like with the ARLA HGV routing and the expected waste for HS2, This proposal once again moves to increase heavy goods traffic and worsen the situation for Wing Residents and pupils at the school. Measures are being considered or put in place to protect other local areas - indeed, this proposal makes specific mention of limiting traffic through Bierton and Aylesbury. However, ONCE AGAIN, Wing is neglected in this proposal which is being put forward without any consideration or suggestion of reparation, compensation or mitigations that might make our village and environment safer.

The area freight ZOE traffic order if successful would displace even more HGV's onto the already busy A418. I live in Wing on Aylesbury Road and the number of HGV's and cars that use the road is

unreal. I have had to have the front windows of my house soundproofed and yet I still hear lorries as the pass. The traffic passing through the village is forever increasing and only at the weekend was there an accident outside of my house that left 2 vehicles written off.

Further HGV's will only add to the already damaged, busy and unsafe road.

A few months ago a HGV lost its load (a metal sheet) and was sheer luck no one was killed.

The footpaths are unlit, small and whilst walking my dogs they are in sheer panic when a HGV passes and to be honest, I also feel uneasy and unsafe too. They thunder past inches from you.

The noise is unbearable at times and often I feel my house shake as HGV's pass. The current road is not suitable for the amount and kind of traffic currently using it. Extra, displaced traffic will only add more misery to the residents of Wing.

I oppose the scheme and suggest an alternative scheme is sought that takes traffic onto new built roads (quiet surface) and not try to pile already unsuitable roads with extra traffic.

The idea of farming out what little hgv traffic goes through these villages and pushing them into an already over run route on the a418 through Wing Village is down right appalling. Surely there needs to come a time when you realise Wing is unable to sustain the current traffic it has without the addition of further housing with the addition of other villages deciding to push all their traffic in this direction too.

I live in the village of wing, which is just on the border of this proposed zone. Which means that even more traffic will be routed through my village. So you save some villages to sacrifice mine.

Wing is a village with a primary and secondary school, with residents who would like quiet and calm instead of lorries constantly banging down our small streets. There is no consideration for traffic calming here, where we need it most.

Waiting in a queue of traffic this afternoon while a massive lorry was trying to manoeuvre the corner by Park Gate. I couldn't believe my eyes as it had to mount the pavement and gradually move round, narrowly missing the house as it did so.

These roads are just not made for these big lorries. I appreciate I do not live on the main road but I walk along these often and it feels like I'm walking alongside the M1 at times. There must be another way to send these lorries but instead it appears you are going to increase the amount being sent along this route. It is going from bad to worse.

If u lived in wing you wouldn't require an explanation.

There is already numerous hgv traffic through the VILLAGE,from dawn til dusk.on the 418 and the much smaller residential lined Stewkley Road,some of which cut through the High Street as well,which is busy during schoolterms with children of all school ages attending the secondary and feeder schools.

We already have the hgv learner/ training schools and the proposed waste transfer site at cublington to contend with.And now this.

This might help residents in these villages, but not Wing who will see an increase in traffic.

Wing is already choked with lorries, the traffic on the A418 and through our village high street and Stewkley Road is totally overwhelming our village. The vibrations are damaging our roads and houses, the lorries are too big so mount the pavements to get past parked cars, thereby endangering pedestrians, and the noise and pollution is unacceptable. To enforce a lorry exclusion zone will be great for the villages within the zone, but devastating for those like Wing which are on the edge of it. We need help to reduce the traffic on the A418, not increase it. Please reconsider.

I feel that as a resident of Wing village the HGVs would be passed onto our main A road, which is equally a huge concern for local residents and parents who have children attending the local schools. This proposal would only be diverting a problem elsewhere to our doorstep therefore impacting negatively on our village - the problem would not go away, merely divert it. The freight map should be wider so that it covers any other affected villages rather than passing the problem on to others unnecessarily. An alternative needs to be looked into as this does not solve the problem.

The A418 through Wing has seen massive increases in heavy traffic over the last 15 years and is already carrying far more heavy vehicles than is reasonable. It is too narrow and too close to housing to cope with more heavy vehicles. There are currently points on the bend of the road where two lorries cannot pass each other safely without great care. A bypass for Wing is well overdue. The Ivinghoe area should take its share of the pain until proper roads provision is in place.

Just look at the lorries etc that would be using our village roads..WE cant cope as it is. with accidents happening, the amount of traffic, and the danger all this brings. This would no doubt. bring extra lorries etc through many other villages, as they always find the routes, no matter how they are told not too.. These villages are just that.. a quiet village, and they will all be impacted on a horrendous scale

Restrictions in Ivinghoe would force more lorries through our village. Why is Ivinghoe more precious than our village? We have a secondary school on the main road with no restrictions. Putting a 7.5ton weight limit on a sign does very little, I am an ex HGV driver and without a camera to fine you or width restrictions the signs get ignored.

This plan forces more traffic through Wing which is already unable to sustain the current volumes. The road struggles to accommodate lorries on the tight bends on the A418 through the village and this plan would cause further safety issues for road users and members of the village community.

Our village has no bypass. Our roads are continuously getting potholes caused by HGVs. We are getting bills for wheel and tyre damage. As it is parked cars on either side of the route you are proposing cause traffic build up as only one-way traffic can actually move. To even think that increasing traffic levels as you are is simply inconceivable to me. We are not a motorway but a quiet village. We already have issues with residents suffering property damage from HGV traffic, serious safety concerns over a lack of traffic calming, speed limit signs, speed cameras. This is a dangerous proposal on many levels and quite frankly should be put in the bin where it belongs.

The high st , is already too busy with traffic , our house shakes when lorries go by , your just moving the problem onto others , create access off the by-pass , but please don't send more traffic through the high street it's already very busy , get to work on a wing by-pass please .

Wing is being given no consideration in this proposal. Our village needs traffic calming measures, not yet another increase in large lorries being encouraged to come through. We have already seen a massive increase in traffic over the years we've been in Wing, including hundreds of large lorries, since we're on the Arla route and now thanks to HS2. The enormous volume of traffic is very detrimental to the village. Our houses are noisy, they shake every time a lorry thunders past, the air frequently smells of exhaust fumes and it is almost impossible to cross the road, or pull out of the driveway. Some houses have been damaged by lorries taking the corner too quickly and they frequently mount the pavement on the bend near our house because 2 lorries cannot comfortably pass, to a point where hearing squealing brakes and feeling scared they're going to come through your living room is common. This road is too small and narrow to accommodate the level of traffic, especially the hgv's and the speed everyone comes through at. We need help reducing traffic not increasing it. There's hardly any provision for residents to safely cross the road and it's dangerous for children walking to school.

Whilst under normal circumstances I would support measures to reduce heavy HGV traffic on rural roads which were never designed to carry such vehicles, I do not support this proposed plan. The plan will result in ever increasing numbers of heavy vehicles using the a 418 through Wing village which like the villages round about is unsuitable for HGVs. The village is blighted by the weight of traffic using the A418 and until this problem is resolved by a bypass this proposal should not go ahead.

- Wing's road surface and roundabouts are not suitable for HGV
- Wing is already taking more than its fair share of traffic.
- Wing is going through a separate consultation that would further increase HGV use in the village.
- The proposed route takes fast-moving HGV traffic directly outside a school of 1,300 students.

All of this leads to regular gridlocked traffic through the village, alongside documented near-misses by HGV's that had the potential for serious injury or death.

Including Wing on this route is irresponsible, and steps should be taken to redirect the route a different way.

This would only increase the already high volume of HGV traffic through Wing!

We already have miles.too.much traffic in the village causing pollution and busy roads.it take some ten minutes to get out of my road each morning is really bad and this will add to the already congested roads

This will direct more traffic into wing which is already too busy and very dangerous.

're routing traffic out of this zone will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Wong where the A418 divides the village in two, but is a narrow bendy road totally insitable for the jeavy HGV lorries that use it causing damage to residential properties, undue noise and pollution and pose a threat to pedestrians using the narrow pavements and those particularly the elderly amd schoolchildren needing to cross the busy road.

This is beyond the joke for wing, we are experiencing already 9000 vehicles one way a day through wing and are fighting against having place in cublington which would allow 60 large HGV's extra going through wing. We cannot experience anymore heavy traffic through wing.. a bypass or shared routes are needed not just to put this through a small village of wing.

The road is dangerous on the bend by the church and any increase in freight will almost certainly lead to a death on that part of the road

As a resident of wing, living on the A418 close to Cottesloe School, this plan would only increase the amount of traffic and pressure through our small village. If measures can be considered to be put in place to protect neighbouring villages, than the same needs to be done to protect Wing as well. I live in a conservation area in a very old house which physically shakes every time a lorry goes past. I also have a young child and it takes up to 5 minutes to cross the road daily due to traffic volumes. Lorries passing through our village do so at high speed, so until further measures are put in place to protect us too, I cannot support this

It will only move problems onto another rds.

More fuel, more pressure on our drivers, the exclusions zones are getting too much now, where do we draw the line ? People will soon be up in arms like they are now over lorry driver shortages, people can't complain about lorries passing through but then complain they don't get their goods delivered on time.

Traffic in wing would not be reduced. There are already many lorries going through the village at speed!

As this will affect Kingsbrook. This should only take affect once bridge and link to the A41 Tribg Road is completed.

Bellingham Way already has problems wi HGV trying to go l've the bridge on Broughton Lane as well as speeding issues.

Get Barratt and Taylor Wimpy and the canal trust to sort or link between Kingsbrook and Aston Reach to join the A41. If that is what the plan is?

So HGV's can go this way to impact the least amount of residents and schools.

I don't think the new road through Kingsbrook is built to take all HGVs that use those roads. Lorries go very fast, combined with construction traffic, the road is already very busy. There are schools/nurseries/kids parks off the main road and it is very worrying as a parent to let kids walk to school or play in the park without supervision.

Having seen some of the massive HGVs that have driven through, they barely fit the single lane road where traffic calming measures are currently in place and has a huge impact on the noise pollution for the numerous houses along Bellingham way.

It is ridiculous to force HGVs down Bellingham way. Drivers should have the option to use either roads - one via Bierton or use the new road.

The proposed changes just mean that the congestion gets moved from one area to another area. It would be better for lorries to have two routes available to them.

Yes. It seems no consideration has been given to residents of Wing, who will be negatively affected - the amount of heavy vehicle traffic along the A418 is already excessive - the traffic noise, damage to property and danger to pedestrians (particularly children at the Cottesloe School) is already concerning, without loading the traffic even more.

You need consider whether send a load of extra traffic and HGVs through Kingsbrook where a primary school and nursery are located on that very road and the heart of a family estate. We grt told its a private road that can t be policed have speed cameras ok so therefore its not acceptable to push a load more traffic our way!

No. It is unjust and unfair, the traffic could be spread more evenly.

It is disgusting to think, the entirety of the heavy vehicles and traffic could imposed onto one village. As a village built with ecological and conservation as its foundation, this proposal would have too heavy an impact on noise, pollution and the resident and children that play and go to schools along the road.

Ideally, it could go entirely on the railways.

We as residents are seeing alot of rubbish thrown out of vehicle window since the road has been open. Thwre is also a safety issue for children who go to the park and to school in canal quarter

The impact of all (with limited exception) of the heavy traffic passing through Kingsbrook, past the primary school and park area, is not acceptable in my view. You can't solve one problem by creating another. Other options must be considered.

Traffic should at least be split. High risk in Kingsbrook to increase traffic past park and primary school.

This can only make sense if the extension of the eastern link road is made a priority and completed. Moving HGV traffic through Kingsbrook past schools, playgrounds, highly residential area is dangerous. It is irresponsible to just shift a problem and not actually put in place the long term solution which is the full ELR to the A41.

This idea is really bad ! Already, traffic on the Bellingham Way in Kingsbrook (Broughton) is increased ! Sometimes residents of Kingsbrook have to wait 25 minutes in traffic to get to the main road. I can't imagine even more increased traffic!

The SLR is already being misused with cars treating it as a speed trap. There's a school off of this route, plus shops will soon be opening with lots of pedestrian access. I feel this is a more dangerous route to take than the current option and will just encourage more speeding traffic flow without the correct infrastructure.

The Bellingham way in Kingsbrook shouldn't be used for heavy vehicles. This is a natural tranquil area that would be spoiled with tremendous traffic coming in and out. There is a school, a playground. Not a right road for heavy lifting vehicles.

School, parks and hugely residential area
Means we don't need more traffic!

This is a terrible idea! We have many playgrounds and bicycle routes for families with children near the main road - Bellingham Way . The school is also on the main road. You have to think about the safety of children!

Current infrastructure has worked for a significant period of time. The redirection of HGV traffic through a housing estate that has not been designed for this traffic seems illogical. The traffic will pass directly past schools and children play areas. To change the current set up does not make sense and will cause local residents that have bought into a quiet estate unnecessary distress.

Sending all HGV traffic through the Stocklake Link Road (Bellingham Way) would severely impact residents. Along the road there is a school, park and soon to be shopping precinct, and having HGVs flying past constantly will be a huge risk for residents, as the road is already abused with regards to speed. Stopping traffic from driving through Bierton makes no sense whatsoever, the road has been used for hundreds of years by all forms of transport. The link road will have already undoubtedly taken some of the heavy traffic.

The restrictions will cause all heavy vehicle traffic to be diverted through the new Kingsbrook estate, which has schools and children's parks located on Bellingham Way, the road that has been suggested as alternative to Bierton. There's no traffic calming measures in place in the area or speed cameras like there are in the current route. The children's park located right by the main road does not have appropriate fencing, children can really easily escape through the large gaps in the fence right onto the main road that is to be used for all this traffic. I hope that we don't need fatalities to show that the road is not a good enough alternative to the current route through Bierton.

The road has a, nursery, preschool, school and playgrounds on the road. It is unsafe to have large vehicles.

Bierton is an adopted road. Has speed cameras and roundabouts to control traffic. The link road will be passing very closely past schools, shops and playgrounds. Such heavy traffic should not be encouraged past such amenities. Bierton has nothing of the sort close to the boundary of the road and therefore should remain the preferred route.

The Bierton road has no shops on its main road, no schools close to its main road, and no public parks or open spaces. It also has the infrastructure (speeding cameras / roundabouts and lights) to manage traffic.

Bellingham Way has a primary school on the main road, a children's playground and will have a shopping centre etc. The increased traffic, particularly HGVs are not wanted. They increase pollution past a primary school and park. Vehicles already use it as a 'speed track' resulting in an accident outside the school recently which smashed through the fence adjacent to the pavement.

Fortuitously it was outside of core school hours but witnessed by those leaving the after school club at 4pm. There are no traffic calming measures in place (bumps, cameras or 20mph limit).

The residents on the Bierton road were aware of the road when they bought their properties, why shift it to a new, sustainable development that provides increased risk due to location of the school and park. Vehicles already fail to stop at the zebra crossing just off the new roundabout, this is the only controlled crossing point at this end of the development.

Safety and pollution are the main concerns but it will also likely devalue properties. I object to the proposal.

The bypass through SLR would pass directly in front of our kids school and playground parks. We highly oppose this as it's a major concern for our family well being.

The proposal is unacceptable and makes me frustrated. I live in the Kingsbrook area, Aylesbury and all my kids are going to Kingsbrook View Primary Academy. They need to cross the Bellingham way, and I feel so scared every time this happens cause many trucks, buses already are using this road. If you give permission to more HVG vehicles, then the whole road will be a nightmare for us and the whole community. Totally unacceptable

Diverting hgv's through kingsbrook provides a very obvious safety risk in these ways:

Runs right next to a play ground causing cause for concern to children in the area not only through vehicles potentially hitting children but through heavy pollution

Runs right by the local primary and secondary school. Children walk to school and again the risk to them with no traffic calming measures or monitored speed would be huge.

Runs on the doorstep of many houses causing noise, vibration and pollution.

Runs right by the local shopping center and again poses a risk to residents accessing that.

The current route through Bierston, though annoying for residents, has been there for many years, there is no school on the road, no playground and no shops. They are traffic calming measures with speed camera. Houses are set back slightly from the road where in Kingsbrook they are not.

Thank you for your consideration.

I think that traffic should be shared between Kingsbrook and Bierston - Kingsbrook has a school and a number of parks that children use along the Stocklake Link Road.

The Road through Kingsbrook goes past parks and schools. Seems very unsafe now as it is with out forcing all large vehicles along it. Kingsbrook has a lot of young families. As well as this it will increase the population in this area. This traffic can be shared along the other road to reduce these risks.

New proposal not acceptable. Primary school and several parks are in the New ELR and if we allow heavy traffic it will be danger to kids live.

The proposed route would direct the traffic directly past a school, nursery, and a children's play park, I believe also it will pass a shopping park on once built. The proposed route has already become a dangerous road for speeding with no calming or safety measures in place.

The new development of Kingsbrook was not built around this level and type of vehicle use. It poses significant issues in respect of safety of the residents and their families, with properties, schools and play areas all positioned close to the road side. Modern builds also are not as soundproofed or solid as older stone and brick properties. Purchasers on Kingsbrook are not aware of the proposal where as residents and buyers in Bierston will have historical residence.

Due to the nature of the diverted route, there will be increased noise that damages the preservation of local wildlife. The current infrastructure works and is prepared to deal with the high volume of traffic, the proposed route is not.

I moved to Kingsbrook to start my family due to the new schools and new community AND the advertised environmental benefits of the area. This plan is a detriment to all of that and not at all what we were expecting once we moved here. I don't see any reason why we cannot share the traffic as we do now. The residents of Kingsbrook were advertised an environmental space with lots of outside area, which will all be affected by this amount of HGVs using Bellingham way.

As a resident of Kingsbrook I feel this is completely unjustified. To focus traffic in a certain direction to avoid a route that has been long established and well connected to town, seems like another

waste of money establishing signage, etc.

Our road has a school directly adjoined to it as well as many crossing points, a zebra crossing and signalled point.

The area has another school being built which in return would add another layer of heavy traffic. This added to what would already be a difficult area to enter into traffic due to hgv's.

We have area to which have been labelled as nature reserves, and forcing this onto the area would be more harmful.

Finally I understand with the establishment of the SLR it should ease traffic, but this only functions if it is apart of the greater road network.

Bierton has no shops on its main road, no schools close to its main road, and no public parks or open spaces on its main road. It also has the infrastructure (speeding cameras / roundabouts and lights) to manage traffic.

Bellingham Way however has a school on the main road, a childrens playground, will have a shopping centre next year and no traffic management. I believe that giving freight NO option but to pass through our estate will lead to high levels of pollution for its residents and will no doubt lead to traffic incidents involving children.

Bellingham road is next to the school and a park. There are currently today cars speeding along this route and I have 3 children that are a constant worry walling along this road due to the cars speeding. The heavy volume of traffic is not what we expected when we moved in and the pollution is too high along this strip with the hgv thundering psst at high speeds. Th

Strongly oppose SLR being the main traffic option for HGVs. It is through a residential development that includes schools, nurseries and children's play park. It will add to the pollution to site being developed to be a green site. Residents are all going to oppose this decision.

Although good for the residents of Bierton, this will push Aylesbury bound traffic along the SLR where there is far more risk to the public. There are schools, nurseries that are right on this road as well as several areas put by for nature conservation purposes. There are no measures to calm speed among the road and the traffic already backs up at the junction with with the A4157 due to the poor traffic light sequence.

We have a Primary aged school on the road along with the fact the estate is full of young families and children. Could we not share the freight?

It is understandable that some residents want the freight traffic route moved to reduce noise pollution, etc however is selfish to assume that residents living in the proposed affected area do not also want a similar thing. Residents living closest to the link road and proposed new route will have their environments disrupted, roads, increased traffic and unavoidable delays for their own

commutes to various and equally important places of employment.

There must be a better solution that is equitable for ALL members of the surrounding community instead of attempts to devalue and ostracise those in the proposed route.

The traffic through the Kingsbrook estate (a41/stock lake link road) is already horrendous and damaging to our community. We have a school, nursery, parks and open space (soon to be shops and further community areas) directly on the road and it's dangerous to have such a high volume of HGVs near to this. Residents moved to the area as it was open space and community focused; making it a link road is both morally wrong and dangerous, as well as bringing excess pollution to an area being designated a nature reserve. Bierton on the other hand has the infrastructure and knowledge of being a busy road. This proposal is unacceptable.

I do not want HGVs routed through Kingsbrook to avoid Bierton. This is not fair, we have children and parks and schools and construction traffic as it is. Forcing drivers through our newly built village would be shameful

Bellingham Way has 2 Schools and a children's play area right next to the road, diverting all heavy goods vehicle's down this road will endanger children's lives. There are no speed camera's and have been told none will be implemented, traffic already travels dangerously fast, diverting more HGV's with no alternative route is ridiculous and undermines our children's safety

Bierton has no shops on its main road, no schools close to its main road, and no public parks or open spaces on its main road. It also has the infrastructure (speeding cameras / roundabouts and lights) to manage traffic.

Bellingham Way however has a school on the main road, a childrens playground, will have a shopping centre next year, and it's a pretty perfect speed track already. We already have lots of HGV due to the fact houses are still being built. To add to this will make our road far more dangerous for the community.

Seems sensible to keep traffic going through Bierton to share the load.

Link road in Aylesbury is busy enough with school traffic and kingsbrook building traffic this is not a suitable alternative

Passing through kingsbrook where there is a school on the road and another planned, plus playgrounds and other local facilities is not safe and goes against the ethos of why kingsbrook was built.

In my personal opinion, vehicles driving through Bellingham way generally do not respect the speed limit, this includes HGV drivers. I don't think it's appropriate to reroute said vehicles via the link road given there are schools and play areas. It would really have a negative impact on the residents of Kingsbrook by causing a feeling of insecurity and presumably heavy traffic. This is unfair on residents.

Hgvs been going through bierton for 10s of years, why change now?

Kingsbrook is as much a residential area as bierton and has schools and parks close to roads.

Once the eastern road is fully open then things may be different but until then we strongly disagree with this regulation.

Bellingham way road has a primary school , nursery and kids play area. Also we bought our houses thinking it was not a main road as it was never in the plan.

The traffic is a hazard along bellingham way as is used as a race track & as i have a child at the school always on my mind how dangerous the road can be with all the lorrie's speeding along there i know there are traffic lights so that's a bit of comfort but i think something needs to be done !!

It's residential area we would not want big lorries passing through for health and safety reasons

I have significant concerns that the amount of additional traffic this will generate on the link road past my house will have a detrimental impact on me and my family in terms of noise, air pollution and vibrations from the traffic. I have concerns that the volumes of traffic generated will create traffic problems for us trying to leave the development in the mornings with the roundabout becoming too busy.

The movement of the entire traffic flow is a danger considering that a childrens park and school are now situated on the stocklake link road.

The existing road has already got speed cameras and is a direct road for HGVs and road freight into the town.

Diverting all vehicles through Kingbrook will undoubtedly increase the risk now that these children's areas are next to this road.

I do not support the TRO, in relation to sending all large vehicles through Kingsbrook. This area has been built with families and nature in mind and sending heavy goods vehicles through it would pose a risk to children, families , homes and the nature around it. The council currently do not maintain the main road through kingsbrook which will no doubt become damaged by the vehicles, placing more cost on the residents and developer. It would turn what was sold as a villiage into a heavy goods vehicle rat run, ruining the peace and safety. This is not an alternative route, it is a area of outstanding beauty which will be destroyed by increased noise, pollution and damage.

Moving HGVs down the ELR and then through Kingsbrook and onto Stocklacke will create unacceptable levels of HGV traffic through a residential area with a narrow through road. On the

road in question (Bellingham Way) there is a large primary school, a large playground and a village square and large volumes of passing HGVs will pose too high a risk to children and parents. Also it will adversely affect the quality of life of local residents, especially people who live on that road (noise, vibration, air pollution) and will potentially create traffic congestion.

HGV traffic must be shared equally through Bierton thus creating more balance in the traffic flow and all local residents sharing the burden.

Also, construction of the ELR(S) to the A41 must be prioritised.

As a resident who moved in almost 3 years ago I was told that the ELR (Bellingham Way) would make an insignificant difference to traffic. The past 6mths since signage has changed has been notable. Large trucks, speeding vehicles and a complete disregard for the 30 mph speed limit outside the school and the parks. The road is already dangerous, muddy and slippery without added HGV vehicles using the road as a racetrack! Absolutely disgusted it's even being considered. I would never have moved had I been told of the true intentions!!!!

We are concerned about the volume of traffic, especially HGVs, that will be travelling very close to a primary school, nursery and children's play areas. We would like to know what safety measures will be put in place. It also feels unfair to divert traffic along this route which is an unadopted road.

I live near the community centre, park and school. I think that guiding extra HGV traffic down Bellingham way past all of these would increase the danger to young children. Some houses have been built very close to the road as well. The eastern link road looks designed to have more traffic but the stocklake road not so.

School and kids safety is critical, there are lots of children in this residential area. It's critical to protect them.

I support the route in principle but unless the exclusion zone is widened to include villages outside the perimeter then traffic in those villages will get worse. For example Aston Abbots and Weedon suggest from hgv route run with traffic trying to get to the bypass to access Buckingham without going into Aylesbury. My village has suffered many broken kerbs from hgv trying to navigate tight corners

There is a real risk that hgv's on the A418 between Leighton Buzzard and Aylesbury will take short cuts through Aston Abbots, Weedon and Cublington and ultimately Stewkley too. Hgv's heading east or towards MK will likely take a short cut through Aston Abbots and Cublington to the A505 bypass avoiding the queue through Wing. And traffic heading west towards Aylesbury and wanting to get to Buckingham or the Bicester road will also take a short cut through Aston Abbots to avoid the queue through Bierton. I'm sure villages to the south will see similar rat runs created. This is easily solved by expanding the weight restricted shaded area so that it forms a protective buffer around the perimeter of the proposed route so that villages outside the perimeter are protected as well as those currently protected inside.

We are really worried about the number of traffic and big vehicles already going through the new road in Kingsbrook. We can see these large vehicles in the night. We thought we will be moving in to a quiet place but since the road was open to the big traffic coming from the Milton Keynes and Leighton Buzzard area, not only there are noise and other pollutants but has become dangerous because of the vehicle speeding. There are new schools and kids and most importantly it is a fully residential area and with all traffic going through inside it has become very difficult. This concern is there for almost all residents I spoke to. So please consider the residents' concern seriously, unfortunately we felt that is not the case so far. If we know this is going to be the case we wouldn't have moved to this area.

The A418 through Wing already has too much traffic and Wing is a bottleneck. The A418 from the A416 to the first roundabout in Wing is too fast with too much traffic. Trying to get in or out of properties along this stretch is dangerous and there have already been numerous accidents along it. There is considerable traffic in and out of Ascott House which has caused many near misses which we have witnessed and the road simply cannot take more traffic as it is and traffic should be routed around Wing using the A416 down to the A5 and onto the M1. At least the current routes through surrounding villages take some of the load from the A418 and this should be maintained until a proper East to West Link or bypass is in place. Also lower the speed limit on the A418 between Leighton Buzzard and Wing to 40MPH to help reduce the danger, the noise and the pollution.

We have schools and parks being built right next to Bellingham way, SLR/ELR. Lorry's already speed up and down it, the prospect of even more and the potential disaster waiting to happen is chilling!

Bellingham way has become a race track for some. You can actually hear tyres screeching as they drive past drifting at the roundabout which is where we live opposite to it. On Bellingham way there's also a primary school which is potentially dangerous with such driving there were several incidents already since the link road has opened and it seems there's more racing drivers returning to try the new track.

Terribly frustrating as it should have traffic calming measures in place as well as much lower speed limits.

The safety of children should be paramount.

Traffic from the A418 heading towards Aylesbury has already been re-routed through Kingsbrook, a wholly residential area that is supposed to support local wildlife and green spaces. Bypassing Berton completely with a weight restriction will send all HGVs along a road that is, at least currently, private. A primary school, nursery and several parks are also right on this road and there is no current infrastructure to encourage a safe speed limit (speed cameras, speed bumps or other calming measures). The majority of children walk to the primary school and the school is expected to expand to its full capacity of 420 students within the next 6 years. Given the proximity of the school to the main road (right on it!) I would expect a 20mph speed limit. Instead it is proposed that all HGVs pass through a highly populated area. There have already been multiple car accidents on Bellingham way and I really believe this proposal carries serious safety concerns, as well as the environmental impact on surrounding houses and educational settings. The school's playground is directly on the main road and the levels of pollution is sure to have an impact.

I feel that hgv's going through the village are detrimental to the environment, dangerous to children and adults especially as there is a school on the main High Street. There are cars parked along the High street thus causing traffic issues, and also there are plans for a traffic calming build out.

Wing is already suffering from excessive volumes of lorries and dumper trucks thundering through the village. My house vibrates as they pass by, noise levels are unbelievable as roads are congested and full of irate drivers using their horns as their passage is restricted by parked cars. This is/was a residential village and implementing this proposal will only serve to worsen the situation in Wing. Would you want a constant stream of HGVs tearing down your street, while kids are walking the pavements to school? Paths are too close to the roads and the lorries pass within inches. One day there is going to be a fatality! Please give the residents of Wing some thought. Why should certain villages be spared the HGVs to the detriment of others?

B440 is after without freight. So many near death accidents over the years. Road from slapton/north all/dagnall safer now

1. The route is taken by vehicles as a resistance route if other routes are closed.
 2. The B440 is used by many HGV businesses in the area and this regulation order will cause additional mileage to these businesses.
 3. The additional mileage has detrimental environmental impacts and financial impacts to the businesses in question.
 4. The use of other routes will disperse HGV vehicles into other neighbouring villages rather than them being able to use a route which is suitable for them.
-

Kingsbrook Parish Council is strongly against the freight route being implemented until the ELR is complete and both link roads are adopted. The wording that the freight route will be "making use of the ELR as is" is not considered appropriate by the Parish Council due to the Primary School and park that are on Bellingham Way and the retail area that is due to be opening next year. Until the appropriate infrastructure is in place and the roads are adopted so that speed limits can be enforced this should not be encouraged.

I do not approve of the revised strategy

Large vehicles going through Great Gap has a detrimental effect to us. Our house shakes and they never stick to the 30 mph speed limit. We moved to the country for a peaceful life. We do not want large lorries driving through our village.

This is a village not a through route for heavy traffic

The road through Bulbourn is not suitable for a lot of HGVs

This is a small village that is not suitable for lorries to speed through, they cause damage to the corner of station road and the noise and vibration caused is not acceptable for people living here . The numbers of lorries has grown since another lorry park appeared at Ivinghoe lawn, it didn't have permission at first and operated illegally.

As a resident of the Kingsbrook estate, I really don't the change has been thought out fairly or rationally.

It's simply moving the problem for one set of residents to another. There are multiple reasons I think this decision is wrong and needs further consideration.

First and foremost this decision should not even be considered until the ELR and other roads are complete. You have no idea what that simple change would bring to the traffic flow and routes. It may improve organically without intervention.

As I understand the council have not yet adopted any of these new roads - Mike Griffin way and Bellingham Way specifically. Whilst I appreciate that is the intention as it stands they are still private unadapted roads. And yet all the signage that has popped up in recent months is already diverting traffic this way and making it look like this is the only way to go - this is somewhat insidious and quite an underhand tactic on the part of the council/planning. It's like you've already made your minds up at this point and this 'consultation' is nothing more than a box ticking exercise. The signage serving to condition people into going this way now before it's enforced. Why can't it be left both and see what happens once the new roads are fully open?

The recent publicity and excitement over making Aylesbury into a wonderful garden town is fantastic news, and yet you now seek to divert all the heavy goods traffic through one of the greener parts of the town and one that in time should have its own nature reserve! Is this not contradictory and somewhat backwards in thinking?

Why is heavy traffic going to be diverted away from a route with few shops and better infrastructure- traffic lights, speed cameras etc to a road that doesn't have any of those and the council wont commit too despite the requests of the Kingsbrook parish council!

Bellingham Way has a school on it and it's not even got a 20mph limit imposed on it. - pretty much every school I can't think of has one of these, and yet cars regularly exceed 40mph down this stretch of road. And you plan to send massive heavy goods vehicles past it on a daily basis? Only a couple of weeks back there was an accident right outside the school due to a vehicle speeding and losing control.

Residents regular report this behaviour yet the council always point out it's private road and unadopted so there isn't anything that can be done about it, but what it seems you can do is make it worse for the residents with no intention of trying to make it safer. Unfortunately I think it's only a matter of time before there is an accident somewhere on this road - is that what it will take for the council to act?

Badly thought out, rushed planning and you've only done it to benefit one set of residents and the expense of other.

I don't support the order for a number of reasons. You are redirecting freight when the full infrastructure has not been completed and that does not have adequate traffic calming measures in place. Currently many cars are speeding and driving recklessly through an area that has lots of children and animals and it is only a matter of time before a serious accident occurs. The traffic will be diverted past a school who's playground is essentially on the road and thus exposing children to high levels of pollution and risk as the speed limit should surely be 20mph in this area. There is also a children's play area which would be exposed to the same issues. This also applies to the wildlife and goes against what the development is trying to achieve. It is essentially moving the problem from one area to another that is in fact less equipped to deal with it and has many more detrimental costs to its residents both human and animal. This proposal should be shelved until the full eastern link road is completed and freight can bypass both areas.

Villages within the freight zone should see a reduction in large vehicle movements which I'm sure they'd appreciate but it comes at the expense of neighbouring communities such as Rowsham, Wing and Billington who might be situated on major road links but shouldn't have traffic forced into them instead. It is good that deliveries etc continue within the freight zone.

This village has far too many heavy lorries passing through from Dunstable M1 to Aylesbury and the A41 the main Road Lower icknield way has had to have several repairs for burst water mains recently and this is due to the weight and frequency of the traffic passing through the village. The road can't support the weight of vehicles passing over it and the mains crack .

The road isn't suitable for this traffic. It shakes my house.

Sending all the freight through Kingsbrook would be an absolute shambles, we were sold this entire development as a "family suburb" we have a nursery and junior school on the main link Road with no traffic restrictions whatsoever. People who purchased houses on the main Bierton through road did so with full knowledge of what they were buying and where and I'm certain that their house prices reflected this, we, however, were not made aware of this possibility in any way shape or form. If this gets the go ahead, it is an almost guaranteed recipe for disaster and the people responsible for making the call may well end up with blood on their hands!!!

Hi, as a resident of this locality it is about time YOU lot stopped trying to degrade the place into an industrial waste land. WE live here and WE don't want you freight trucks destroying OUR lives and living space.

Hi

Wanted to say I feel the HGV new route will add more issues around Wing village. Dangerous to the school which is directly on the route and with the traffic already too high with lorries and HGV in this area.

The speeds they go at on the Rd A418 is too fast and without speed cameras entering the village and leaving this will create road accidents and deaths.

The extra pollution this will cause is also an issue, along with the HGVs creating vibrations that is now causing houses on that main road A418 structure issues.

Many, many reasons why this route should not go ahead

To whom it may concern.

Regarding the proposed Ivinghoe area freight zone.

I am a local farmer, business owner and resident within the proposed zone.

I object on principle to the creation of this zone.

The freight zone is to remove heavy vehicles from the area to the detriment of other roads around the zone, under this proposal the traffic will be shifted to roads such as the B440 leighton road. The B440 leighton road is already over capacity in the traffic it receives, this makes regular extensive queuing at choke points including the villages it passes through, namely billington, norhall and edlesborough. As someone with children attending edlesborough school i experience the queuing on a regular basis and the freight zone will only increase this.

The traffic problem that the freight zone is trying to address is only due to the fact that most of the local roads in the proposed zone have not been updated, redesignated, widened or adapted in the last 30 years despite an increase of population and business interests.

A freight zone would not be necessary if the required wider road investment was made.

The proposed freight zone if its goes ahead without any wider road investment is a terrible choice for locals and only serves the interests of some more affluent areas at the expense of the other communities around them.

To whom it may concern

Looking at the map for the proposed weight limit restrictions I see that the village of Berton is just on the edge & not included. All day every day we have HGVs traveling up & down the Aylesbury Road A418 to Milton Keynes & Aylesbury. A new road has been built supposedly to divert this traffic from the village, this new road is not being used by the majority of HGVs. The only way to stop them going straight through Berton is to put a weight restriction on the A418. The pavements along this road are either non existent or much too close to the road making them not fit for purpose. We need this traffic calming measure as a matter of urgency before a child walking to & from school gets hurt.

Comments Unsure of support for Proposal

The A418 through Wing is horrendous with constant movements of HGVs and skip lorries commencing at 4.39 each morning and continuing until early evening. The road is in poor state and vibrations from HGVs shake property along the road and have caused damage to many homes. Noise and air pollution is intolerable. Pedestrians can't cross the road or use pathways safely due to volume of traffic and speeding vehicles .

We urgently need proper Road resurfacing, speed restrictions and traffic calming which won't compromise emergency vehicles and an acknowledgement that the traffic using the A418 needs to adhere to these restrictions.

Don't send the lorries past my home please. We already have around 50 horse box/hgv vehicle movements per day on average.

The traffic should be spread across the area rather than focused on any one area. This means sharing the burden rather than insisting it becomes "someone else's" problem.

The fact we have a school, playground and soon to be shops on the Bellingham Way road there are many accidents waiting to happen whilst Bellingham Way is being used as a nice 'straight' race track for HGVs and cars! No enforcement allowed being a private road and residents suffering day and night with the noise pollution (as well as houses shaking!) Various animals/wildlife have already been killed - it's simply NOT safe!

the HGV excluded zone should be expanded to form a protective belt outside the perimeter of the proposed route. I.e. to include Aston Abbots and Weedon. It seems very odd that there is no buffer around the outside of the planned route yet every village inside the ring is protected. I would fear an increase in traffic through the village with HGVs trying to get from the a418 to the bypass to access the Bicester road and avoid going via the Aylesbury main routes. Or equally traffic en route towards the a505 trying to get to the a505 bypass without going through wing and detouring through Aston Abbots and cublington.

I'm sure the villages to the south of the route will have similar potential rat runs.

Easily addressed by expanding the shaded "no go area" so that it covers a protective belt around the perimeter of the proposed route and discourages hgv's to divert to take short cuts to the bypasses.

We would request that the HGV excluded zone be expanded to form a protective belt outside the perimeter of the proposed route. i.e. to include villages such as Aston Abbots, Cublington and Weedon. There appears to be no buffer around the outside of the planned route yet every village

inside the ring is protected. We are extremely concerned about an increase in traffic through these villages with HGVs trying to get from the A418 to the bypass to access the Bicester Road and avoid going via the Aylesbury main routes. Equally traffic enroute towards the A505 could try to get to the A505 bypass without going through a frequently congested Wing, therefore detouring through the small country roads of Aston Abbotts and Cublington. The implications of these smaller villages becoming rat runs for HGVs cannot be dismissed. We have already seen damage to verges, village greens and pedestrian near misses.

This could be easily addressed by expanding the shaded "no go area" so that it covers a protective belt around the perimeter of the proposed route and discourages HGVs from taking short cuts.

I think the premise of the TRO is sound.

However I would request that you extend the weight limit to include Aston Abbotts and Weedon to deter HGVs from cutting through these tiny villages to avoid Aylesbury, when travelling from the A41 from Bicester towards the A41, A413 or A505, or of course in the opposite direction.

Thanks.

7.5 tons limit across the browlow bridge, so why did you remove them??! we have a 70 limit (sorry, meant 30 limit) outside our house. I welcome mandatory speed limiters to be fitted to vehicles in the coming years.

Still no mention of cycle tracks; on these very narrow roads.

Council have cloth ears when safety, pollution, climate emergency, noise, vibration, are told by their ratepayers.

Living in Castlemead I worry about the increased traffic noise and speed of the Westfield road. I also am concerned about the increase in air pollution in the surrounding estate given that it already suffers from being directly under the Luton flight path which is due to increase also. Saying this I do believe the village will benefit from reduced traffic and that lorries should not be taking the shortest route through the village. Maybe some more trees along Westfield road and some air monitoring.

I have 2 young children and with such small pavements it feels very unsafe when we encounter large vehicles especially if there are 2 going in different directions as they get so close to the pavement!

Hi . I submitted my thoughts by email yesterday but have included some more of my thoughts to clarify and add to my reasoning in my previous email..

I am both interested and disappointed by the survey and subsequent strategy for the following reasons. I am a resident of Aston Abbotts, Bucks.

I think the idea to restrict unnecessary heavy transport from infrastructure not designed to cope is perfectly sensible and brings more benefits to residents than difficulty to business. I believe it is a responsible approach to place appropriate restrictions on sections of the community which seem to have a disproportionate negative effect when reasonable alternatives exist.

Before the survey was undertaken I had written to my local District Councillor regarding the disproportionate increase in traffic using this village as a speedy rat run approximately linking the M1 and M40. The increase had become apparent as the Dunstable by pass and Aylesbury by pass of Martin Dalby Way were being undertaken. The route of Moat Lane being used through this conservation area village has a width of 4 metres in places and effectively no verge being on a ridge between a field and the moat of The Abbey. As a result, larger vehicles cannot pass without driving onto soft verge. The larger vehicles tend to have a minimum width of 2.5 metres so cannot pass each other on the road surface. This is causing damage to the road and its edges. There is no pedestrian refuge as the lane is not wide enough to accommodate a footpath. Local roadside property has also been damaged on more than one occasion.

The central village green is routinely damaged by large vehicles despite being a conservation area, those larger vehicles unable to negotiate the smaller roads of this village. I am also aware of the vibration caused to those houses nearer the main village road around The Green.

The route through this village can be driven from Cublington or Wingrave crossroads on A418. It leads down Weedon Hill, through the narrow roads of Weedon and down to the A413 Buckingham Road. Vehicles then turn left to Aylesbury, onto Martin Dalby Way and then onto A41 towards Bicester and its distribution centres and the M40 beyond.

This route has no traffic lights, the majority of it has a derestricted speed limit between Aston Abbots and Weedon , derestricted speed limit from Weedon and 50 MPH limit on Martin Dalby Way. It meets A41 at the western end of Aylesbury. It also allows some very nice views across The Vale but is an upgraded former agricultural access road to a "B" classification only.

The much more appropriate route from Wing to Bicester is the A418 . This route is subject to some derestricted sections before Rowsham but is single carriageway. It is a much wider and substantially built road with pedestrian footpaths and refuges for most of its length. It leads into 30MPH speed limits all through Aylesbury with speed cameras, traffic lights, roundabouts, pedestrian crossings all of which may be considered by drivers to be an impediment to progress when compared to the rat run through Aston Abbots and Weedon with little impediment. This route exits Aylesbury at the junction with Martin Dalby Way.

There is also the reverse route from Buckingham to Leighton Buzzard which uses the A413. Vehicles can turn from A413 , travel through the smaller lanes of Weedon, Aston Abbots and access the A418 at either Wingrave crossroads or through Cublington and into Wing . For the lorries, this avoids travelling into Aylesbury where the series of traffic lights, speed restriction, speed cameras and roundabouts may equally be seen to impede their progress across the county.

These large vehicles have no need to be on these local roads for logistical purposes as there are generally few large businesses to supply. Those business which do exist could generally be supplied by supply merchants being more vigilant by good planning with their logistical supply systems to use smaller vehicles on smaller roads and restrict larger vehicles to larger roads. Most systems have some form of computer analysis to facilitate this course of action by consolidating smaller vehicles to supply to smaller venues.

These much smaller country lanes are used by several other forms of road user on a daily basis, in particular increasing numbers of both cyclists and equestrian users. From personal knowledge, HGV driving instruction / test encourages drivers to drive to the speed limit irrespective of what may reasonably be expected to be on the road or risk a failure for a perceived lack of progress. This lack of sensible caution in country environments has obvious malevolent effects. The

effect of heavy vehicles approaching, unseen and unseeing, around tight corners, behind high hedges creates two problems for other road users. Firstly the noise created by engine and road surface, including after rain, is invasive. The lorry driver, driving to the system in which he has been instructed, will be disadvantaged by a dramatically reduced braking distance when another road user is travelling in the opposite direction. The application of airbrakes is in itself can be invasive and the effect can cause fear with its obvious danger. The current Highway Code requires a minimum distance of 1.5 metre or 2 metre clearance between vehicles and both horses and cyclists. Cyclists are advised to now ride in the middle of the carriageway so as to avoid potholes and ragged road edges, themselves often caused by previous oversized lorries using verges thus damaging under width roads.

The accumulative effect of this Highway Code requirement is that a lorry, the width of which will be at least 2.5 metres, plus the passing requirement of 1.5 metre (or even 2 metres) plus the width from that road user to the edge of the roads (even allowing just one metre) is at least 5 metres (even 6 metres). The three access roads around/ through the villages of Aston Abbots, Cublington and Weedon often do not meet that basic requirement of safe passing width as I have mentioned already.

It is foreseeable to anticipate that impatience will prevail for all parties when there is an alternative safe resolution if these villages become included in this strategy. Satellite navigation systems have the inbuilt facility to select routes according to dimensions and weight of vehicles. However the facility to ignore that in favour of "fastest route" is a temptation too easy to select for operational efficiency without considering the negative effect on others.

It seems to be the absence of completion of the "expressway" link from Leighton Buzzard to Aylesbury which has compounded this issue to the detriment of the local villages. However I do not consider this to excuse the use of local villages as providing a convenient alternative route by larger vehicles to the detriment of villages in the County.

It is for these reasons that I ask that the exclusion area for inappropriate size lorries should include the villages of Aston Abbots, Weedon and Cublington.
